

# Evaluation and optimization of BOG Re-condenser in the LNG reservoir process

T D Khoa\*, N T N Ngoc, N S X An, P H H P Loi, T T Dat



Use your smartphone to scan this QR code and download this article

## ABSTRACT

The liquefied natural gas (LNG) is a product of condensation of natural gas in very low temperature and stored at ambient pressure in the cryogenic tanks. For using, the LNG is re-gasified and supplied to power and chemical plants as fuel and material. Recently, the demand of using LNG has increased significantly because it is abundant, low price and CO<sub>2</sub> emission. Therefore, the LNG plays an important role in the global energy system as an input to power generation, heating and material in industry. Many projects of LNG receiving terminal are being constructed in Vietnam and operated in the next few years. However, during storage in the cryogenic tank, a considerable amount of LNG evaporates by solar absorption and generates boil-off gas (BOG) which causes of loss increasing and unsafety. As a result, the BOG generation problem is highlighted for operation optimization in the future. In this study, a retrofit BOG re-condensation process was proposed based on the existing plant at LNG Dapeng receiving terminal, China. The previous study evaluated the process only on energy analysis, hence the irreversibility was not realized. As a result, the total lost, 1130.9 (kW), in term of exergy loss is really high. Therefore, the exergy analysis method was considered to optimize the operating parameters of the process. The results indicated that the exergy loss was decreased by 2.6 times compares to the previous process, it reduces to only 434.9 (kW). In addition, BOG was completely condensed and optimum operating pressure of the condenser is determined in the range of 8–9 bars. Thus, the results give the engineers more options to select the optimum conditions for reduction of energy consumption and environment savings.

**Key words:** Boil-off gas, LNG reservoir, exergy analysis, simulation

## INTRODUCTION

The natural gas is the most energy-efficient fossil fuel and being used instead of oil or coal. Although the primary use of natural gas is as a fuel, it is also a source of hydrocarbons for petrochemical feedstock. Its popularity as an energy source is expected to grow substantially in the future because natural gas can help reducing adverse impacts on global climate and the environment. Natural gas consumption has been growing steadily over the past two decades, and natural gas has strengthened its position in the world energy mix<sup>1</sup>.

The natural gas is transported by pipelines or cryogenic tanks in the form of liquefied natural gas (LNG). The LNG has the advantages that it contains about 40% more heating value than liquid fuels derived from chemical conversions of natural gas<sup>2</sup>. Over long distances, it is more economical to transport natural gas in the form of LNG, because LNG has over 600 times lower volume compared with the gas phase of the same mass. However, its bubble point is below –161°C, which requires a huge amount of energy for liquefaction process. The heat leak from ambient can

make a considerable amount of LNG evaporate as boil-off gas<sup>3</sup>, which causes safety and loss problems. Overtreatment of the BOG consumes excess energy. Hence, proper handling of BOG is required for an optimal design of an LNG receiving terminal.

BOG re-condensation process is widely used to liquefy and recover BOG due to its 30–60% higher energy-utilization efficiency than that of compressing BOG directly to distribution pipeline<sup>4</sup>. Although there are many studies to lower energy consumption of BOG re-condenser, the exergy analysis method is not investigated clearly yet. Realizing this shortcoming, this study investigates the retrofit design of a BOG re-condensation process at LNG receiving terminals, and uses exergy analysis curves to show the effect of operating parameters on the exergy loss.

## METHODOLOGY

Exergy is a property of a system and its surrounding environment<sup>4–6</sup>. It means a quantity of exergy for a given system depends on the state of both system and its surrounding environment. Exergy is the combination of the first and the second laws of thermodynamics. It not only considers quantity of energy, but

Ho Chi Minh City University of Technology – VNU-HCM, Vietnam

### Correspondence

T D Khoa, Ho Chi Minh City University of Technology – VNU-HCM, Vietnam

Email: khoaadang@hcmut.edu.vn

### History

- Received: 13/8/2021
- Accepted: 24/9/2021
- Published: 30/9/2021

DOI : 10.32508/stdjet.v4i3.902



### Copyright

© VNU-HCM Press. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.



**Cite this article :** Khoa T D, Ngoc N T N, An N S X, Loi P H H P, Dat T T. **Evaluation and optimization of BOG Re-condenser in the LNG reservoir process.** *Sci. Tech. Dev. J. – Engineering and Technology*; 4(3):1171-1177.

also its quality through entropy generation. For a system, exergy is an attribute that expresses the deviation of the system from its surrounding environment or reference state<sup>4,7</sup>. The exergy of a system is defined as the maximum work obtainable when it is brought from initial state to the environment state at temperature  $T_o$  and pressure  $p_o$ . The exergy content of a system is determined as below:

$$Ex = (H - H_0) - T_0(S - S_0) \quad (1)$$

A process is performed by the system from initial state to final state, the exergy change of the system is determined as:

$$\Delta Ex = \Delta H - T_0 \Delta S \quad (2)$$

Exergy is only conserved in reversible processes and is always destroyed in any process that is irreversible. The exergy loss of the real processes is considered as the loss of energy quality. Exergy loss is also known as anergy production. Anergy is a part of energy that carries unavailable work. The exergy balance for a steady state system is used to perform exergy analysis, which helps the engineers evaluate the process performance.

$$\Sigma(Ex)_{in} = \Sigma(Ex)_{out} + ExL \quad (3)$$

The exergy loss of the process shows the irreversibility that cannot be identified by the energy balance in the conventional energy analysis. Thus, the exergy efficiency can be defined as:

$$\eta = \Sigma(Ex)_{out} / \Sigma(Ex)_{in} \quad (4)$$

## CASE STUDY

### BOG re-condensation process combined to pre-cooler

Normally, the BOG temperature is about  $-130^\circ\text{C}$  before entering to compressor. After being compressed to 8 bars, BOG is condensed by  $-158^\circ\text{C}$  LNG. The cryogenic LNG provides the sensible cold energy for BOG temperature decreasing, and phase change in condensation. The required amount of LNG for BOG temperature decreasing is almost 30% of total that of LNG. If BOG is pre-cooled before directed into re-condenser, LNG is only responsible for BOG phase change rather than for temperature decreasing. Thus, Yajun Li et al<sup>8</sup> recommended a BOG re-condensation process combined to pre-cooler as presented in Figure 1. This will be beneficial for the operation stability especially at the condition of a small LNG output load. The LNG stream out of high-pressure pump could

be used to cool the compressed BOG to  $-120^\circ\text{C}$  in a pre-cooler. After pre-cooling BOG, the LNG stream is sent to the open rack vaporizer<sup>8</sup>. The advantages of this process is to reduce the requirement of LNG, a more logical control system than the conventional one for BOG re-condenser was investigated, which provided good operation stability, flexibility and reliability. However, the exergy analysis method is not considered. Thus, the optimum operation parameters are not determined clearly. Applying the exergy analysis to the process, the results indicates the total exergy loss is really high, around 1130.9 (kW) at 8 bars of re-condenser pressure.

### Retrofit design for BOG re-condensation process

The LNG is stored and transported in tanks as a cryogenic liquid at temperature of  $-162^\circ\text{C}$  and pressure of one bar. Due to heat leaking of the storage and transportation, amount of LNG evaporates to BOG<sup>8</sup>, normally 6.69 ton/h BOG in Dapeng receiving terminals. The BOG is absorbed by LNG from the cryogenic tank as depicted in Figure 2. Amount of BOG from the top product of re-condenser is sent to the flare for safety problem. The LNG at the bottom product can be fully recycled or extracted out for other purpose.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The exergy loss of the compressor and pump<sup>4,9</sup> can be determined by following relation:

$$\begin{aligned} ExL_{c/p} &= W_{in} - \Delta Ex_{c/p} \\ &= W_{in} - [\Delta H_{c/p} - T_o \Delta S_{c/p}] \quad (5) \end{aligned}$$

Whereas, the exergy loss of re-condenser<sup>4,9</sup> is calculated by:

$$\begin{aligned} ExL_{re} &= (Ex)_{in} - (Ex)_{out} \\ &= -[(Ex)_{out} - (Ex)_{in}] \\ &= -[(H_{Top} + H_{Bot} - H_{Vap} - H_{Liq}) \\ &\quad - T_o (S_{Top} + S_{Bot} - S_{Vap} - S_{Liq})] \\ &= -[\Delta H_{re} - T_o \Delta S_{re}] \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

The exergy loss percentage of pump, compressor and re-condenser<sup>4,9</sup> is defined as:

$$ExL_{c/p/re} (\%) = ExL_{c/p/re} \cdot 100\% / (ExL)_{c/p/re} \quad (7)$$

Table 1 shows the properties of pump, compressor and re-condenser which calculated by the simulation environment ASPEN HYSYS Version 8.8, such as enthalpy change, entropy change and work. The results of exergy analysis as Equations (5,6,7) are presented in Table 2. The ambient temperature  $T_o$  and pressure  $p_o$  are  $27^\circ\text{C}$  and 1 atm, respectively.

The results in Table 2 show that almost of exergy loss occurred in the re-condenser. The exergy loss of pump, compressor and re-condenser have a tendency




Figure 1: BOG re-condensation process combined to pre-cooler by Yajun Li et al in 2012<sup>8</sup>




Figure 2: Retrofit design for BOG re-condensation process by absorption

to increase as pressure increasing, that causes the total exergy loss also tends to increase. The reason is as pressure of re-condenser increases, the system consumes much more work for pump and compressor, as well as increasing of the potential difference between the BOG and cryogenic LNG streams. The results in Table 2 also show the exergy loss percentage of re-condenser decreases as pressure increases.

The Figures 3 and 4 indicate the exergy loss of pump and compressor speeds up whereas the pressure increases from 2 to 4 bars, then steadily increasing until 11 bars of pressure.

The Figure 5 indicates that the exergy loss of re-condenser also rises up as the pressure reaches 4 bars, then increase slowly until 9 bars of pressure. However, the exergy loss increases rapidly after that.





Figure 3: The correlation between exergy loss of pump and re-condenser pressure


The total exergy loss is indicated in the Figure 6 with the same tendency of them. The Figure 6 also shows

**Table 1: The properties of pump, compressor and re-condenser.**

Re-condenser pressure, P (bar)	LNG flow rate re-quirement, F (ton/h)	Pump properties			Compressor properties			Re-condenser properties	
		$\Delta H_p$ (kW)	$\Delta S_p$ (kW/K)	$W_p$ (kW)	$\Delta H_c$ (kW)	$\Delta S_c$ (kW/K)	$W_c$ (kW)	$\Delta H_{re}$ (kW)	$\Delta S_{re}$ (kW/K)
2.0	104.0	8.26	0.025	8.26	105.11	0.164	105.11	7.61	0.564
3.0	69.0	13.70	0.041	13.70	198.75	0.283	198.75	6.60	0.695
3.5	58.5	13.94	0.041	13.94	222.33	0.309	222.33	0.66	0.705
4.0	54.6	15.18	0.045	15.18	243.57	0.331	243.57	16.91	0.822
4.5	50.0	15.88	0.047	15.88	262.91	0.351	262.91	19.36	0.851
5.0	45.9	16.39	0.049	16.39	280.70	0.368	280.70	4.23	0.801
5.5	43.0	17.07	0.051	17.07	297.15	0.384	297.15	5.30	0.805
6.0	40.4	17.62	0.052	17.62	312.49	0.397	312.49	0.24	0.788
6.5	38.8	18.49	0.055	18.49	326.89	0.410	326.89	11.89	0.855
7.0	36.7	18.94	0.056	18.94	340.45	0.422	340.45	1.88	0.823
7.5	35.4	19.68	0.058	19.68	353.24	0.432	353.24	7.96	0.847
8.0	33.9	20.19	0.060	20.19	365.37	0.442	365.37	3.04	0.820
8.5	32.8	20.84	0.062	20.84	376.95	0.451	376.95	5.64	0.848
9.0	31.8	21.46	0.064	21.46	387.97	0.460	387.97	8.12	0.874
9.5	30.0	21.44	0.064	21.44	398.52	0.468	398.52	10.92	0.887
10.0	29.8	22.48	0.067	22.48	408.65	0.475	408.65	8.58	0.891
10.5	29.0	23.03	0.068	23.03	418.31	0.482	418.31	2.68	0.874
11.0	28.5	24.89	0.074	24.89	436.69	0.495	436.69	4.93	0.897



**Figure 4:** The correlation between exergy loss of compressor and re-condenser pressure



**Figure 5:** The correlation between exergy loss and pressure of re-condenser


under low operating pressure, the higher pressure of re-condenser is, the more exergy loss is because of the potential difference.

In condition of 11 bars of re-condenser pressure, the total exergy loss is about 434.9 (kW), that is still less

than 2.6 times of that one in the process by Yajun Li et al<sup>8</sup>. This is very significant, that is about 61.5% of reduction. As results by Yajun Li et al<sup>8</sup>, there are much exergy loss at the high pressure pump, pre-cooler and re-condenser. The main problem is the

**Table 2: The exergy loss of pump, compressor and re-condenser.**

Re-condenser pressure, P (bar)	LNG flow rate requirement, F (ton/h)	Exergy loss of pump, ExL <sub>p</sub>		Exergy loss of compressor, ExL <sub>c</sub>		Exergy loss of re-condenser, ExL <sub>re</sub>		Total exergy loss, ExL (kW)
		(kW)	%	(kW)	%	(kW)	%	
2.0	104.0	7.4	3.4	49.3	22.6	161.6	74.0	218.3
3.0	69.0	12.2	4.1	84.8	28.4	201.9	67.5	298.9
3.5	58.5	12.4	3.9	92.6	29.3	210.8	66.8	315.8
4.0	54.6	13.5	3.9	99.4	29.0	229.7	67.0	342.6
4.5	50.0	14.1	4.0	105.2	29.6	235.9	66.4	355.2
5.0	45.9	14.6	4.0	110.5	30.6	236.1	65.4	361.2
5.5	43.0	15.2	4.1	115.1	31.4	236.2	64.4	366.5
6.0	40.4	15.7	4.2	119.2	32.1	236.2	63.6	371.1
6.5	38.8	16.4	4.3	123.1	32.0	244.6	63.7	384.1
7.0	36.7	16.9	4.4	126.5	32.6	245.0	63.1	388.4
7.5	35.4	17.5	4.4	129.7	33.0	246.1	62.6	393.3
8.0	33.9	17.9	4.5	132.7	33.7	243.0	61.7	393.6
8.5	32.8	18.5	4.6	135.4	33.6	248.8	61.8	402.7
9.0	31.8	19.1	4.6	137.9	33.5	254.1	61.8	411.1
9.5	30.0	19.1	4.6	140.4	33.9	255.2	61.5	414.7
10.0	29.8	19.9	4.7	142.6	33.9	258.7	61.4	421.2
10.5	29.0	20.5	4.8	144.7	34.1	259.5	61.1	424.7
11.0	28.5	22.1	5.1	148.6	34.2	264.2	60.7	434.9



**Figure 6:** The correlation between total exergy loss and re-condenser pressure

pressure of pump be very high (90 bars) equivalent to the pipeline pressure, that causes the unnecessarily huge exergy loss in the storage operation. In the pre-cooler, there is existence of big pressure and temperature differences, because its exergy loss is also high. In the re-condenser, the BOG inlets to the top, so the

liquid – gas interaction and the mass transfer are inefficient. This increases the flow rate of LNG requirement, then the exergy loss rises. In the retrofit process, the pre-cooler is combined to the re-condenser into absorber structure with the BOG inlet at the bottom, which increases the efficiency of mass transfer and lower the flow rate of LNG requirement significantly. This factor also decreases the pump power dramatically. Besides, the pump pressure is limited at the reasonable level for the storage operation, as consequently, the exergy loss of pump and re-condenser reduces greatly. The reducing of operating pressure and eliminating the pre-cooler in the retrofit process that decreases the large exergy loss compared to the one by Yajun Li et al<sup>8</sup>. Therefore, the pre-cooler is inefficient in the BOG handling process, which cannot be realized by the energy analysis method. Because the energy analysis just concerned about properties of only a system, independent of environment properties, so this is the serious lack of this method.

## CONCLUSION

The retrofit process was proposed based on a better methodology for exergy loss minimization. The results show that retrofit process eliminated completely the boil-off gas, which was burnt before, and pointed out that the operating pressure of the re-condenser is in the range of 8–9 bars. It also reduce 61.5% of exergy los because a heat exchanger is removed. The approach is useful to guide engineers to select the optimum specified values at minimum exergy los .

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research is funded by Ho Chi Minh City University of Technology – VNU-HCM under grant number To-KTHH-2020-17. We acknowledge the support of time and facilities from Ho Chi Minh City University of Technology (HCMUT), VNU-HCM for this study.

## ABBREVIATION

BOG: boil-off gas  
 LNG: liquefied natural gas  
*Ex*: exergy (kW)  
*ExL*: exergy loss (kW)  
*DEx*: exergy change (kW)  
*F*: LNG flowrate requirement (ton/h)  
*H*: enthalpy (kW)  
*H<sub>o</sub>*: enthalpy in equilibrium to environment (kW)  
*DH*: enthalpy change (kW)  
*S*: entropy (kW/K)  
*S<sub>o</sub>*: entropy in equilibrium to environment (kW/K)  
*D*: entropy change (kW/K)  
*T<sub>o</sub>*: ambient temperature (K)  
*p<sub>o</sub>*: ambient pressure (bar)  
*W<sub>in</sub>*: work inlet (kW)  
*h*: exergy efficiency (%)  
 Subscript:  
*c*: compressor  
*in*: inlet  
*out*: outlet  
*p*: pump  
*re*: re-condenser

*Vap*: vapor flow  
*Liq*: liquid flow  
*Top*: top product  
*Bot*: bottom product

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interests.

## AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

The research methodology was conceptually proposed by Khoa Ta Dang. Original draft was prepared by Khoa Ta Dang, Ngoc Nguyen Thi Nhu and An Nguyen Si Xuan. The research was supervised by Loi Pham Hoang Huy Phuoc and Dat Tran Tan. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

## REFERENCES

1. Mokhatab S, Poe WA. Handbook of Natural gas transmission and processing, 2nd ed. Elsevier Inc. 2012;p. 1–82. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-386914-2.00001-7>.
2. Fahmy MFM. Optimization and comparative analysis of LNG regasification processes. Energy. 2015;91:371–385. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2015.08.035>.
3. Kurlle YM. Simulation study on boil-off gas minimization and recovery strategies at LNG exporting terminals. Applied Energy. 2015;156:628–641. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2015.07.055>.
4. Dincer I, Rosen MA. Exergy: Energy, environment and sustainable development, Burlington, Massachusetts: Elsevier Science Ltd. 2007; Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-008044529-8.50006-9>.
5. Rosen MA. Editorial - Exergy in industry: Accepted or not? Exergy, An International Journal. 2001;1:67. Available from: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1164-0235\(01\)00003-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1164-0235(01)00003-6).
6. Rosen MA. Does industry embrace exergy? Exergy, An International Journal. 2002;2:221–223. Available from: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1164-0235\(02\)00087-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1164-0235(02)00087-0).
7. Dincer I, Cengel YA. Energy, entropy and exergy concepts and their roles in thermal engineering. Entropy. 2001;3:116–149. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.3390/e3030116>.
8. Li Y, Chen X, Chein MH. Flexible and cost-effective optimization of BOG (boil-off gas) recondensation process at LNG receiving terminals. Chemical engineering research and design. 2012;90:1500–1505. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cherd.2012.01.013>.
9. Khoa TD, et al. Application of three dimensional exergy analysis curves for absorption columns. Energy. 2012;37(1):273–280. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2011.11.034>.

# Đánh giá và tối ưu thiết bị ngưng tụ BOG trong quá trình tồn trữ LNG

Tạ Đăng Khoa\*, Nguyễn Thị Như Ngọc, Nguyễn Sĩ Xuân Ân, Phạm Hoàng Huy Phước Lợi, Trần Tấn Đạt



Use your smartphone to scan this QR code and download this article

## TÓM TẮT

Khí thiên nhiên hoá lỏng là sản phẩm của quá trình ngưng tụ khí thiên nhiên trong điều kiện nhiệt độ rất thấp và được tồn trữ trong các bể chứa có áp suất khí quyển. Khi sử dụng, LNG được tái hóa khí và cung cấp đến các nhà máy nhiệt điện và chế biến hóa chất như là một nguồn nguyên nhiên liệu. Ngày nay nhu cầu sử dụng LNG tăng rất cao bởi vì giá thành thấp, ít phát thải và có trữ lượng dồi dào. Vì thế LNG đóng vai trò là nguồn năng lượng quan trọng, cung cấp cho nhu cầu nhiệt, điện và nguyên liệu trong công nghiệp. Ở Việt Nam đang có nhiều dự án kho chứa LNG được xây dựng và chuẩn bị đưa vào vận hành trong vài năm tới. Tuy nhiên trong quá trình tồn trữ, LNG luôn bị bay hơi tạo nên khí bốc hơi do hấp thu bức xạ tự nhiên từ môi trường làm tăng tổn thất và gây ra vấn đề an toàn. Do đó vấn đề phát sinh BOG được quan tâm nhằm mục đích tối ưu vận hành các kho chứa trong tương lai. Nghiên cứu này đề xuất cải tiến dựa trên sơ đồ công nghệ tồn trữ LNG hiện có tại kho chứa Dapeng, Trung Quốc. Các nghiên cứu trước đây chỉ áp dụng phương pháp phân tích năng lượng nên chưa nhận thức được sự bất thuận nghịch của quá trình tồn trữ LNG. Điều này dẫn đến kết quả tính toán được exergy mất mát của kho chứa này khoảng 1130,9 (kW) là rất lớn. Vì thế, trong nghiên cứu này, phương pháp phân tích exergy được áp dụng để đánh giá hiệu quả và tối ưu các thông số vận hành của kho chứa. Kết quả tính toán thu được mất mát exergy giảm khoảng 2,6 lần so với sơ đồ công nghệ hiện đang vận hành tại kho chứa Dapeng, chỉ còn 434,9 (kW). Bên cạnh đó, toàn bộ lượng khí BOG sinh ra được hấp thu hoàn toàn trong thiết bị ngưng tụ với áp suất vận hành tối ưu khoảng 8–9 bar. Phương pháp tiếp cận này giúp cho người kỹ sư có cơ sở lựa chọn điều kiện vận hành tối ưu nhằm mục đích giảm thiểu năng lượng tiêu thụ và bảo vệ môi trường.

**Từ khoá:** Khí bốc hơi (BOG), tồn trữ LNG, phân tích exergy, mô phỏng

Trường Đại học Bách Khoa –  
ĐHQG-HCM, Việt Nam

## Liên hệ

Tạ Đăng Khoa, Trường Đại học Bách Khoa –  
ĐHQG-HCM, Việt Nam

Email: khoatadang@hcmut.edu.vn

## Lịch sử

- Ngày nhận: 13/8/2021
- Ngày chấp nhận: 24/9/2021
- Ngày đăng: 30/9/2021

DOI : 10.32508/stdjet.v4i3.902



Check for updates

## Bản quyền

© ĐHQG Tp.HCM. Đây là bài báo công bố mở được phát hành theo các điều khoản của the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.



**Trích dẫn bài báo này:** Khoa T D, Ngọc N T N, Ân N S X, Lợi P H H P, Đạt T T. **Đánh giá và tối ưu thiết bị ngưng tụ BOG trong quá trình tồn trữ LNG.** *Sci. Tech. Dev. J. - Eng. Tech.*; 4(3):1171-1177.