Dynamics and control analysis of a single flexible link robot with translational joints

Bien Duong Xuan

ABSTRACT
Modern design always aims at reducing mass, simplifying the structure, and reducing the energy consumption of the system especially in robotics. These targets could lead to lowering cost of the material and increasing the operating capacity. The priority direction in robot design is optimal structures with longer lengths of the links, smaller and thinner links, more economical still warranting ability to work. However, all of these structures such as flexible robots are reducing rigidity and motion accuracy because of the effect of elastic deformations. Therefore, taking the effects of elastic factor into consideration is absolutely necessary for kinematic, dynamic modeling, analyzing, and controlling flexible robots. Because of the complexity of modeling and controlling flexible robots, the single-link and two-link flexible robots with only rotational joints are mainly mentioned and studied by most researchers. It is easy to realize that combining the different types of joints of flexible robots can extend their applications, flexibility, and types of structure. However, the models consisting of rotational and translational joints will make the kinematic, dynamic modeling, and control becomes more complex than models that have only rotational joints. This study focuses on the dynamics model and optimal controller based on genetic algorithms (GA) for a single flexible link robot (FLR) with a rigid translational joint. The motion equations of the FLR are built based on the Finite Element Method (FEM) and Lagrange Equations (LE). The difference between flexible manipulators that have only rotational joints and others with the translational joint is presented through boundary conditions. A PID controller is designed with parameters that are optimized by the GA algorithm. The cost function is established based on errors signal of translational joint, the elastic displacements (ED) are destructed in a short time when the system is controlled following the reference point. The results of this study can be basic to research other flexible robots with more joint or combine joint styles.
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INTRODUCTION
Dynamics and control are fundamental problems in the robotics field. The modeling and building of the motion equations problems meet challenges with the robot which has many degrees of freedom. Especially the robots take into account the ED factor of links. There are many studies on the FLR. However, the robot model mentioned in such works has only rotational joints (R joint). The translational joint (T joint) has almost not been considered yet. The inclusion of the T joint into the FLR increases flexibility, further enriching the application of this robot type. Of course, the modeling complexity also increases. Few authors have studied the FLR with only T joint. A single FLR with T joint is presented in Wang and Wei (1987) 1. The Galerkin method is used to model the robot. The authors also proposed a feedback control law in Wang and Wei (1987) 2. Kwon and Book 3 presented a single link robot which is described and modeled by using assumed modes method (AMM). Stable inversion method is studied for the same robot configuration but the nonlinear effect is taken into account 4. A new method to solve the inverse dynamics of a FLR is described in 5. Some FLR with a T joint combining a R joint are considered in Pan et al. (1990) 6, Yuh and Young (1994) 7, Bedoor and Khulief (1997) 8. The main approach was based on the Assumed mode method (AMM) and a few works were based on FEM to model the system. A FLR with R and T joints was presented in Pan et al. (1990) 6 based the FEM approach. The Newmark method was used to solve the motion equations of the robot. The Partial Differential Equations was established in Yuh and Young (1994) 7 for a FLR with R-T joint by using AMM. A general dynamic model for R-T robot was introduced in Bedoor and Khulief (1997) 8 based on FEM and LE approach. A Fuzzy Logic controller was designed in Farid and Salimi (2001) 9 to track the motion of a FLR with R-
T joint. An adaptive control of a single FLR with T joint with the ball-screw mechanism was studied in Qiu (2012)\(^{10}\). This controller is also mentioned in Zhao and Hu (2015)\(^{11}\) with AMM and LE approach.

In this study, the dynamics model and optimal controller of a single FLR with a T joint is considered based on the FEM and LE approach. The T joint variable is defined which is distance from element at the origin coordinate system to the EP of the link. The value of depends on time. The position of other elements is changed too. Number of elements can-be optionally selected and enough huge. A PID controller is designed with parameters which are optimized by using GA algorithm. Cost function is built based on errors signal of T joint variable, ED of the EP of the FLR.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The structure of a single FLR with T joint is considered in Figure 1.

The OXY is the fixed frame. A flexible link is divided into \(n\) elements. Each element has 2 nodes. Each node \(j\) has the flexural \((u_{2j-1}, u_{2j+1})\) and the slope displacements \((a_{2j}, a_{2j+2})\). The length of an element is \(l_e = \frac{1}{2}\) with is the total length of flexible link. The T joint variable is \(d(t)\) which is changed by driven force \(F_T(t)\). The \(F_e\) is external force at the EP of the link. Element \(k\) is inside the hub of the T joint

\[
k_k = L - d(t) \tag{1}
\]

It is noteworthy to mention that value of \(k\) is the number of position element. So, it must be taken the raw value of \(k\) in computing process. Total elastic displacement \(w_j(x_j,t) = N_j(x_j)Q_j(t)\) with \(0 \leq x_j \leq l_e\) and generalized vector of shape functions \(N_j(x_j) = [x_j f_1(x_j) f_2(x_j) f_3(x_j) f_4(x_j)]\) of element \(j\) are presented with generalized elastic displacement \(Q_j(t) = [d(t) u_{2j-1} u_{2j} u_{2j+1} u_{2j+2}]^T\) vector. Coordinate \(r_j\) of element \(j\) is given by

\[
r_j = [(j-1)l_e - L + d(t)] + x_j \ w_j(x_j) \tag{2}
\]

Elastic kinetic energy (KE) of element \(j\) is determined as

\[
T_j = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{l_e} m \left[ \frac{\partial r_j}{\partial t} \right]^2 dx_j = \frac{1}{2} Q_j^T(t) M_j Q_j(t) \tag{3}
\]

Where \(m\) is mass per meter. Mass matrix \(M_j\) of element \(j\) is calculated as

\[
M_j(s,e) = \int_0^{l_e} m \left[ \frac{\partial r_j}{\partial Q_{js}} \right]^T \left[ \frac{\partial r_j}{\partial Q_{je}} \right] dx_j; \tag{4}
\]

Where \(Q_{js}\) and \(Q_{je}\) are the \(s^{th}, e^{th}\) element of \(Q_j\) vector. It can be shown that \(M_j\) is of the form

\[
M = \begin{bmatrix}
  m_l & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & \frac{13}{35} m_l & \frac{11}{210} m_l^2 & \frac{9}{13} m_l & -\frac{13}{420} m_l^2 \\
 0 & \frac{210}{9} m_l^2 & \frac{105}{13} m_l^3 & \frac{143}{12} m_l^2 & -\frac{70}{140} m_l^3 \\
 0 & \frac{70}{13} m_l^2 & \frac{140}{420} m_l^3 & \frac{31}{210} m_l^2 & -\frac{70}{105} m_l^3 \\
 0 & -\frac{140}{210} m_l^2 & -\frac{140}{140} m_l^3 & \frac{420}{210} m_l^2 & -\frac{140}{105} m_l^3 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{140}{140} m_l^3 & m_l
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The potential energy (PE) of element \(j\) includes two components: elastic \(P_j\) and gravity \(G_j\) potential energy.

\[
P_j = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{l_e} EI \left[ \frac{\partial^2 w_j(x_j,t)}{\partial x_j^2} \right]^2 dx_j = \frac{1}{2} Q_j^T(t) K_j Q_j(t) \tag{6}
\]

Where, \(E\) and \(I\) are Young’s modulus and inertial moment of link. The stiffness matrix \(K_j\) is shown as

\[
K_j = \frac{EI}{l_e^3} \begin{bmatrix}
  0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & 12 & 6l_e & -12 & 6l_e \\
  0 & 6l_e & 4l_e^2 & -6l_e & 2l_e^2 \\
  0 & -12 & -6l_e & 12 & -6l_e \\
  0 & 6l_e & 2l_e^2 & -6l_e & 4l_e^2
\end{bmatrix} \tag{7}
\]

PE due to gravity can be given as \(G_j = \int_0^{l_e} mg \left[ 0 \right] x_j dx_j\). The total elastic KE and PE of link are described as

\[
T = \sum_{j=1}^{n} T_j = \frac{1}{2} \int Q^T(t) M(t) Q(t) \tag{8}
\]

\[
P = \sum_{j=1}^{n} P_j + \sum_{j=1}^{n} G_j = \frac{1}{2} \int Q^T(t) K(t) Q(t) + G(t) \tag{9}
\]

The LE with Lagrange function \(L = T - P\) is shown as

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{Q}(t)} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial Q(t)} = F(t) \tag{10}
\]

When KE and PE are known, the Eq. (10) can be rewritten as

\[
M(t) \ddot{Q} + DQ + K(t) Q + G^* = F(t) \tag{11}
\]

Vector \(Q(t) = [d(t) u_1 u_2 ... u_{2n+1}]^T\) is generalized coordinate overall system. Vector \(F(t) = [F_1(t) 0 ... 0 F_n(t)]^T\) is the external generalized force. The matrices \(M\) and \(K\) are established from matrices \(M_j\) and \(K_j\). Vector \(G^* = \frac{\partial G^*}{\partial \dot{Q}}\) can be determined by partial derivative \(G(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} G_j\). Structural damping matrix \(D = \alpha M + \beta K\) is calculated as in Ge et al. (1997)\(^{12}\). Symbols \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) are the damping ratios of the system which are determined by experience. The size of \(M, K\) and \(D\) matrices is \((2n \times 3) \times (2n + 3)\).
BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

The displacements of element \( k \) are zero because assumed that the translational joint hub is treated as rigid. The rows and columns \((2k-1)^{th}\); \((2k)^{th}\) of matrices \( M, K, D, G^e \) and \( F(t), Q(t) \) vectors are eliminated following FEM theory and values of these are continually changed depending on time because of changing of element \( k \) position. It is noteworthy to mention that value of \( k \) depends on time. This boundary condition is clearly different point between FLR with only R joints and FLR with combine T joint and R joint. So now, size of matrices \( M, K, D, G^e \) is \((2n+1) \times (2n+1)\) and size of \( F(t) \) and \( Q(t) \) is \((2n+1) \times 1\). The \( k \) variable is continuously updated for each time step in solving process. Vector generalized coordinate \( Q(t) \) is rebuilt after each loop too because of changing value \( k \) variable (Figure 2).

SYSTEM CONTROL

In this paper, a PID controller is constructed to control the position of an FLR. The position error of EP of the elastic stitch is continuously reflected and minimized. GA is applied to find the optimal parameters of the PID control system. The error values are used to assess the fitness of each chromosome in the GA. There are some main steps in this algorithm as selection, mutation, crossover, and reproduction. The reproduction step is stopped when an optimum solution is found. The sequences of operations involved in GA are described in Figure 3. Structural control of dynamic system is designed as Figure 4.

From Figure 4, the objective is to tune the PID parameters with minimum consumable energy and minimum errors which are translational joint variable error \( e_1 = d_{\text{ref}} - d_{\text{real}} \), flexural displacement \( u_{2n} \) as \( e_2 \) and slope displacement \( u_{2n+1} \) as \( e_3 \) of the EP of the FLR. Symbol \( u_{\text{pid}} = [k_p e_1 + k_i \int_0^t e_1 dt + k_d \frac{de_1}{dt}] \) is the driving force and parameters \( k_p, k_i, k_d \) are proportional gain, integral, derivative times, respectively. Definition vectors \( e = [e_1 \ e_2 \ e_3] \) and \( u = [u_{\text{pid}} \ 0 \ 0] \) with time control and the objective function \( J \) used in GA is defined as

\[
J = \int_0^T \left(e^T e + u^T u\right) dt
\]  

Cost function \( J \) is the linear quadratic regulator (LQR). The optimum target is finding the minimum cost of \( J \) function with values of respective parameters which are changed from lower bound to upper bound values. It means to reduce minimum driving energy of joint, error of T joint variable and elastic displacements at the end-effector point. The optimum parameters \( k_p, k_i, k_d \) are given when minimum value of \( J \) is found.

SIMULATION RESULT AND DISCUSSIONS

Parameters of the FLR are shown in Table 1. It is noteworthy to mention that system has an elastic displacement value at initial time as static state. This initial displacement value is \( w(t=0)(0) = \frac{k_e d_{0}}{2k_c} \). Parameters are used in GA following Table 2. The reference point and optimum parameters are shown in Table 3. The cost values of J function are described in Figure 5. The minimum cost value is 0.0594. This value shows
that the control quality is effective. The generation can be reduced. The simulation results of T joint, error of joint is shown in Figure 6 and Figure 7. The remaining figures are simulation results of their velocity. Considering T joint value, rise time is 0.4 (s). Settling time is 1.1 (s), maximum overshoot is 1.5 (%), state error is zero. The velocity of T joint variable is shown in Figure 8. The maximum velocity of joint is 1.35 (m/s) and fast reduces after that about 0.8 (s).

The ED values at the EP are fast reduced and show in Figure 9 and Figure 10. Maximum flexural displacement is 3.8 (mm). This value reduced to displacement value at static state after 1.4 (s). The maximum slope displacement is 0.175 (rad). It reduced to displacement value at static state after 1.4 (s) too. The velocities of ED are shown in Figure 11 and Figure 12.
**Table 1: FLR parameters**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length of link (m)</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width (m)</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness (m)</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of elements</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross section area (m²)</td>
<td>A=b.h</td>
<td>2.5×10⁻⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass density (kg/m³)</td>
<td>ρ</td>
<td>7850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass per meter (kg/m)</td>
<td>m=ρ.A</td>
<td>0.19625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young’s modulus (N/m²)</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>2.10¹⁰</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inertial moment of cross section (m⁴)</td>
<td>I=b.h³/12</td>
<td>5.208x10⁻¹¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damping ratios</td>
<td>α=β</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External force (N)</td>
<td>Fy</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time simulation (s)</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3: Simulation data and results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference position (m)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial position (m)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation time (s)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimum values (K_p, K_i, K_d)</td>
<td>10.1; 0.2; 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The minimum cost value of J function</td>
<td>0.0594</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2: GA parameters**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum generation</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population size</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutation rate</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraction of population kept</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of optimization variables</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower bound of variables</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper bound of variables</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CONCLUSION**

A dynamic model of a single FLR with T joint is considered based on FEM and LE approach. Considering joint variable which is distance from element k at the origin coordinate system to the EP of link is effective. The difference between flexible manipulators which have only R joint and others with T joint is presented through boundary conditions. Control system is proposed to reduce elastic displacement value at the EP of link and joint error. Parameters of PID control are optimized by using GA. The output search results are successfully applied to control position. The approach method and results of this study can be referenced to research other flexible robot with more joint or other
Figure 6: Position control results of T joint variable

Figure 7: The error of T joint variable

Figure 8: Value of velocity of T joint

Figure 9: Value of flexural displacement at the EP

Figure 10: Value of slope displacement at the EP

Figure 11: Value of flexural displacement velocity
Figure 12: Value of slope displacement velocity
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